



Qualis A1 - Direito CAPES

Presentation

September 2023

September heralds the last months of the year and in this omen of spring we present the third issue of 2023 of the *Direito e Praxis* journal, Vol. 14, N. 3, 2023. We present, as usual, our section of unpublished articles, an exquisite dossier, reviews and translations.

The general section of this issue begins with a cutting-edge article presenting research at the intersection of studies on indigenous peoples and the rights of indigenous children, it goes on discussing philosophical critical theories and jurisprudence, and discusses social participation in urban planning, public hearings at the Supreme Court, decolonization and minority rights, as well as laborism and neoliberalism.

The September dossier also offers innovative contributions. With eight unpublished articles, this special section deals with a topic that is still little explored in the Brazilian legal field, “anti-discrimination law”. The editors of the dossiers, Professors Roger Raupp Rios and Lawrence Estivalet Mello, have organized an exquisite selection of papers under the general title “The technical-legal construction of anti-discrimination law: contributions to the development of its concepts, techniques and normative categories”, bringing together contributions from a very fruitful call for papers. Also, as a part of the dossier, we have a translation of Sandra Ferdman’s work, “Substantive Equality Revisited”, which also makes up the dossier from the translations section. We would like to thank the editors for their efforts and their rigor in selecting the works!

Finally, in the other sections of translations and reviews, we present works in the fields of legal materialism and statistics and social criticism, as well as reviews in the fields of criminology and decolonial thought. As usual, we would like to thank everyone who



contributed to this edition of the Journal: authors, translators, guest editors. Collaborative work is fundamental to the quality of our journal! We would like to remind you that the editorial policies for the different sections of the Journal can be found on our website and that submissions are permanent and always welcome! As usual, we would like to thank the authors, evaluators, and collaborators for their trust in *Direito e Práxis*.

Happy reading!

Direito e Práxis Team



The actuality of the struggles for equality and anti-discrimination law

Roger Raupp Rios¹

¹Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil; Escola Nacional de Formação e Aperfeiçoamento de Magistrados, Brasília, Distrito Federal, Brasil. E-mail: roger.raupp.rios@gmail.com. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5105-3861>.

Daniel Borrillo²

²Universidade de Paris Nanterre, Nanterre, Paris, França. E-mail: borillo@parisnanterre.fr. ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-16983588>.

Lawrence Estivalet de Mello³

³Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brasil. E-mail: lawrence.emello@gmail.com. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2882-4883>.

Struggles to build fair and egalitarian societies spread across various fields and fronts. Thanks to the challenging, by so many social movements, of discriminations of many kinds, there have been significant achievements, such as the dismantling of racial segregation regimes, the enactment of international anti-discrimination legal instruments and the recognition of the rights of historically discriminated individuals and groups. This trajectory, marked by renewed challenges, not only remains open, but is increasingly confronted by the dramatic worsening of inequalities, the profusion of the politics of hatred and enmity, attacks on democracy and the resurgence of manifestations of discrimination.

Among the multiple types of knowledge, experiences and practices engaged in this journey is anti-discrimination law, understood as an area of specialized legal knowledge and practice aimed at making the right to equality a reality. In its development, the understanding of the respective legal frameworks, concepts, categories, and principles requires consolidation and deepening, as indicated by the technical-legal issues raised by the constitutional and legal norms relating to equality, the disputes over the application of international and inter-American conventions against various forms of



discrimination, the clashes in jurisprudential and legislative activities and the growing specialized legal literature.

As it happens with all fields of knowledge and legal practice, contextualizing, analyzing, understanding, and criticizing anti-discrimination law requires the input of various perspectives, disciplines, and approaches: history, philosophy, and the social sciences, among other fields of knowledge, have been and cannot be ignored in this task. To this end, this journal, alongside the efforts of many others, also seeks to contribute.

To compose the dossiers, the *Direito & Práxis* journal put out a public call, which was answered by over 90 researchers from all over the country and demonstrated the collective strength of anti-discrimination academic production in Brazil. The articles selected and published in the dossier express the richness and plurality of the struggles for equality in the field of law, with repercussions in the field of social theory and in different areas of legal knowledge, such as constitutional law, labor law, social security law, business law, LGBTI+ rights and international law.

The article by Roger Raupp Rios and Lawrence Estivalet de Mello “*The neoliberal offensive to anti-discrimination law: the expansion of protected freedom in the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court and the US Supreme Court*” is dedicated to the analysis of the grammar of rights from a neoliberal perspective, in its growing commodification and disposability of the human, combined with the valorization of the subject as a protected personal instance, a theoretical instrument mobilized to examine the anti-egalitarian offensive embodied in emblematic jurisprudential responses from the Supreme Court of the United States (case “303 Creative LLC vs. Elenis”, 2023) and from the Supremo Tribunal Federal (cases involving the “Escola Sem Partido/Escola Livre” legislation, 2018-2020). The text proposes an understanding of the similarities and disparities between neoliberalism and neoconservatism, as well as between judicial responses to neoconservatism in the two countries. It compares the attacks on the freedom of professorship in Brazil and the ruling that expands business freedom to allow the right to LGBT-phobic discrimination in the provision of services in the United States.

The article by Thiago de Souza Amparo, Fernanda Reis Nunes Pereira and Odara Gonzaga de Andrade “*Anti-Discriminatory Capitalism? Stock Market and the Governance of Diversity*” presents a unique study on the role of anti-discrimination law in the private sector, particularly in the financial market. By asking about the relationship between business companies, structural racism and investment actors in the protection of



discriminatory violations, the text analyzes the diversity policy for publicly traded companies proposed by the Brazilian stock exchange and then discusses the hypothesis that “diversity governance” is characteristic of the moment of neoliberalism, drawing on aspects of critical legal theory. The text sets out to bring anti-discrimination law to the center of the debate in private law studies, emphasizing how diversity governance stands on a pendulum between democracy and the authoritarian advances of neoliberalism.

The article by Adilson José Moreira, Gabriela Doll Marinelli, Helena de Araújo Bento and Rafaella Pavanello Palmieri “*Aesthetic Discrimination*” problematizes aesthetic discrimination in the corporate world, such as official and informal institutional rules that result in discriminatory dismissal of that set visual standards in the hiring and promotion of male and female workers. The use of appearance as a criterion for judging the professional capacity of individuals, as the study of sixty Brazilian judgments and US and Australian jurisprudence shows, is a procedure that negatively affects a significant number of people, especially women and members of subalternized racial groups.

The article by Fernando Cesar Mendes Barbosa and José Antônio Peres Gedieli “*Migrant subject of law: equality and discrimination*” examines discriminations found in the Law and in institutional practices regarding migrants, asylum seekers and refugees in Brazil, with an empirical, bibliographical, and normative examination. The parameters of the analysis are the denial of the exercise of citizenship due to the deprivation of political rights and the difficulty that migrants and refugees find in having their professional university education obtained in another country recognized. The processes of labor precariousness are intensified by the irregular migratory status, by the procedural obscurity of the revalidation of foreign degrees, and by the latent borders of race, gender, language, and nationality, which contribute to positioning underemployment as a place of discrimination against migrants.

The article by Francine Oliveira, Renata Dutra, and Valdemiro Santos Junior “*Social security affiliation and discrimination: domestic work between precariation, spoliation and genocide*” discusses the social security protection of paid domestic work from the perspective of anti-discrimination law. Considering that this labor segment is overrepresented by the black female community and that the legally established condition of social security affiliation reflects the effects of the general and positional precariousness of this group in the world of work, the effects of social security filters are



critically analyzed as elements of reaffirmation of structural inequalities, which culminate in spoliation effect consistent with the idea of genocide of the black population.

The article by Marcelo Maciel Ramos and Pedro Augusto Gravatá Nicoli *“Is there a legislated anti-discrimination law for LGBTQIA+ people in Brazil today?”* carries out a vigorous survey of legislation in the states and municipalities to identify and analyze the main rules that deal, directly or indirectly, with lgbtphobic discrimination. The first step of the article is to draw a map of the legislation, based on the disputes over the regulation of LGBTI+ rights in bills, the scarcity of federal legislation, and the breadth and solidity of anti-discrimination law for LGBTI+ people in the states and municipalities. As the authors show, 83% (eighty-three percent) of the Brazilian population lives in states whose constitutions or state laws expressly prohibit discrimination against LGBTI+ people. Following, in an analytical exercise combined with interdisciplinary theoretical research, the authors situate the map and present dimensions of LGBTI+ rights, namely, enforceability, interdependence and social progressiveness.

Daniel Borrillo's article *“Persona sexum non habet: How to reconcile the rights of women with the rights of non-binary people?”* describes changes in the legal discipline and jurisprudential understanding of the contours of the notion of gender in anti-discrimination law, based on an examination of the matter in the courts of Denmark, Malta, Ireland and Norway. In these legal documents, the category of gender is understood as an intimate experience, lived from individualized subjectivities. Understandings based on a cisheternormative behavioral standardization are thus revisited, making it possible to articulate and reconcile women's rights and the rights of non-binary people, in line with the material aspect of the principle of equality.

The article by Paulo Gilberto Cogo Leivas, Alice Hertzog Resadori, Amanda de Almeida Schiavon, Aline Aver Vanin, Amiel Modesto Vieira, Thiago Souza Reis and Paula Sandrine Machado *“Intersexuality and the tensioning of the prohibited criterion of discrimination sex”* discusses the body standard that historically constitutes the legal field (binary, cisgender, endosexual), which excludes bodies that don't fit this norm, as is the case with intersexuality. Because of this, intersex people suffer discrimination and have their rights violated by early and cosmetic surgical and hormonal procedures, even in childhood. The text discusses how intersexuality strains the legal content of the prohibited criterion of sex discrimination, contributing to its broadening, through a bibliographical review and examination of national and international legislation on the content of the



prohibited criterion of sex discrimination, considering the need for anti-discriminatory protection of intersex people.

This thought-provoking set of articles, very diverse in their approaches, objects and methodologies of analysis, contributes to the knowledge, dissemination and consolidation of anti-discrimination law among us. As a result of the commitment and interest of so many who generously sent in their article proposals, and made a reality by the renowned journal *Direito & Práxis*, articles by Brazilian and foreign authors are offered here for reading and debate in an open environment, whose breadth, although significant, is always far from exhausting the challenges facing anti-discrimination law and the struggle for equality.

References

AMPARO, Thiago de Souza; PEREIRA, Fernanda Reis Nunes; ANDRADE, Odara Gonzaga de. CAPITALISMO ANTIDISCRIMINATÓRIO?: bolsa de valores e governança da diversidade. *Revista Direito e Práxis*, Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 14, N. 3, 2023.

BARBOSA, Fernando Cesar Mendes; GEDIEL, José Antônio Peres. Sujeito de direito migrante: igualdade e discriminação. *Revista Direito e Práxis*, Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 14, N. 3, 2023.

BORRILLO, Daniel Borrillo. Persona sexum non habet: Como conciliar os direitos das mulheres e os direitos das pessoas não binárias?. *Revista Direito e Práxis*, Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 14, N. 3, 2023.

LEIVAS, Paulo Gilberto Cogo; RESADORI, Alice Hertzog; SCHIAVON, Amanda de Almeida; VANIN, Aline Aver; VIEIRA, Amiel Modesto; REIS, Thiago Souza; MACHADO, Paula Sandrine. Intersexualidade e o tensionamento do critério proibido de discriminação sexo. *Revista Direito e Práxis*, Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 14, N. 3, 2023.

MOREIRA, Adilson José; MARTINELLI, Gabriela Doll; BENTO, Helena de Araújo; PALMIERI, Rafaella Pavanello. Discriminação estética. *Revista Direito e Práxis*, Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 14, N. 3, 2023.

OLIVEIRA, Francine; DUTRA, Renata Queiroz; SANTOS JUNIOR, Valdemiro. FILIAÇÃO PREVIDENCIÁRIA E DISCRIMINAÇÃO: O TRABALHO DOMÉSTICO ENTRE A PRECARIZAÇÃO, A ESPOLIAÇÃO E O GENOCÍDIO. *Revista Direito e Práxis*, Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 14, N. 3, 2023.

RAMOS, Marcelo Maciel; NICOLI, Pedro Augusto Gravatá. Existe um direito legislado da antidiscriminação para pessoas LGBTQIA+ no Brasil hoje?. *Revista Direito e Práxis*, Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 14, N. 3, 2023.



RIOS, Roger Raupp; MELLO, Lawrence Estivalet de. A OFENSIVA NEOLIBERAL AO DIREITO DA ANTIDISCRIMINAÇÃO: a expansão da liberdade protegida no Supremo Tribunal Federal e na Suprema Corte dos EUA. *Revista Direito e Práxis*, Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 14, N. 3, 2023, p. 1874-1903.



Expedient of this issue

Editors

Dr. José Ricardo Cunha, UERJ, Brasil

Dra. Dra. Carolina Alves Vestena, Universität Kassel, Alemanha

Executive editor

Dra. Bruna Mariz Bataglia Ferreira, UERJ, Brasil

Executive Committee

Maria Luiza Milagres, UERJ, Brasil

Laryssa P. Duarte, UERJ, Brasil

Editorial Board

Dra. Ágnes Heller, New School for Social Research, EUA

Dr. Andreas Fischer-Lescano, Universität Bremen, Alemanha

Dr. Alexandre Garrido da Silva, Universidade de Uberlândia, Brasil

Dr. Alfredo Culleton, Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos, Brasil

Dr. Andrés Botero Bernal, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Colômbia

Dra. Bethania Assy, UERJ, Brasil

Dra. Cecília MacDowell Santos, Universidade de São Francisco, USA; Centro de Estudos Sociais da Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal

Dr. Costas Douzinas, Birckbeck University of London, Reino Unido

Dra. Deisy Ventura, Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil

Dr. Girolamo Domenico Treccani, Universidade Federal do Pará, Brasil

Dr. Guilherme Leite Gonçalves, UERJ, Brasil

Dr. Jean-François Y. Deluchey, Universidade Federal do Pará, Brasil

Dr. João Maurício Adeodato, UFPE e Faculdade de Direito de Vitória, Brasil

Dr. James Ingram, MacMaster University, Canadá

Dr. Luigi Pastore, Università degli Studi "Aldo Moro" di Bari, Itália

Dr. Marcelo Andrade Cattoni de Oliveira, UFMG, Brasil

Dr. Paulo Abrão, PUC-Rs e UCB, Brasília, Brasil

Dra. Rosa Maria Zaia Borges, PUC-RS, Brasil



Dra. **Sara Dellantonio**, Università degli Studi di Trento, Itália

Dra. **Sonia Arribas**, ICREA - Univesidade Pompeu Fabra de Barcelona, Espanha

Dra. **Sonja Buckel**, Kassel Universität, Alemanha

Dra. **Véronique Champeil-Desplats**, Université de Paris Ouest-Nanterre, França

Evaluators

Adamo Dias Alves, UFJF, Brasil; **Allan Mohamad Hillani**, N SSR, EUA; **Dr. Alejandro Manzo**, Universidade de Córdoba, Argentina; **Alexandra Bechtum**, Universidade de Kassel, Alemanha; **Dr. Alexandre Costa Araújo**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Alexandre Mendes**, UERJ, Brasil; **Dr. Alexandre Veronese**, UNB, Brasil; **Alice Resadori**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dr. Alvaro Pereira**, USP, Brasil; **Ana Laura Vilela**, UNB, Brasil; **Dra. Ana Carolina Chasin**, UNIFESP, Brasil; **Dra. Ana Lia Vanderlei Almeida**, UFPB, GPLutas - Grupo de Pesquisa Marxismo, Direito e Lutas Sociais, Brasil; **Dra. Ana Paula Antunes Martins**, UnB, Brasil; **Ana Paula Del Vieira Duque**, UNB, Brasil; **Andrea Catalina Leon Amaya**, UFF, Colômbia; **Antonio Dias Oliveira Neto**, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal; **Assis da Costa Oliveira**, UFPA Brasil; **Dra. Bianca Tavorali**, USP, Brasil; **Bruno Cava**, UERJ, Brasil; **Bruno Alberto Paracampo Mileo**, Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará, Brasil; **Bryan Devos**, FURG, Brasil; **Dra. Camila Baraldi**, USP, Brasil; **Dra. Camila Cardoso de Mello Prando**, UnB, Brasil; **Camila Sailer Rafanhim**, UFP, Brasil; **Dra. Camilla Magalhães**, UnB, Brasil; **Dra. Carolina Costa Ferreira**, IDP, Brasil; **Dra. Carla Benitez Martins**, UFG, Brasil; **Dra. Carolina Medeiros Bahia**, UFSC, Brasil; **Dra. Cecilia Lois (in memoriam)**, UFRJ, Brasil; **Dr. Cesar Baldi**, UnB, Brasil; **Dr. César Mortari Barreira**, Instituto Norberto Bobbio, Brazil; **Dr. Cesar Serbena**, UFPR, Brasil; **Dra. Clarissa Franzoi Dri**, UFSC, Brasil; **Dra. Claudia Roesler**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Conrado Hubner Mendes**, USP, São Paulo, Brasil; **Dailor Sartori Junior**, Unisinos, Brasil; **Daniel Capecchi Nunes**, UFRJ, Brasil; **Danielle Regina Wobeto de Araujo**, UFPR, Brasil; **Dr. Daniel Achutti**, UniLasalle, Brasil; **Dr. David Francisco Lopes Gomes**, UFMG, Brasil; **Dra. Danielle Rached**, Instituto de Relações Internacionais – USP, Brasil; **Dra. Deisemara Turatti Langoski**, Unipampa, Brasil; **Diana Pereira Melo**, UNB, Brasil; **Diego Alberto dos Santos**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dr. Diego Augusto Diehl**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Diego Werneck Arguelhes**, FGV DIREITO RIO, Brasil; **Dr. Diogo Coutinho**, USP, Brasil; **Dr. Eduardo Magrani**, EIC, Alemanha; **Dr. Eduardo Pazinato**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dr. Eduardo Pitrez Correa**, FURG, Brasil; **Dr. Eduardo Socha**, USP, Brasil; **Eliseu Raphael Venturi**, UFPR, Brasil; **Eloísa Dias Gonçalves**, Panthéon-Sorbonne, França; **Emília Merlini Giuliani**, PUCRS, Brasil; **Dr. Ezequiel Abásolo**,



Universidade Católica Argentina, Argentina; **Dr. Emiliano Maldonado**, UFSC, Brasil; **Dra. Fabiana Luci de Oliveira**, UFSCAR, Brasil; **Dra. Fabiana Severi**, USP, Brasil; **Fábio Balestro Floriano**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Fabiola Fanti**, USP, Brasil; **Fátima Gabriela Soares de Azevedo**, Universidade do Porto, Portugal; **Dr. Felipe Gonçalves**, CEBRAP, Brasil; **Dra. Fernanda Vasconcellos**, UFPEL, Brasil; **Dra. Fernanda Frizzo Bragato**, Unisinos, Brasil; **Dra. Fernanda Pradal**, PUC-Rio, Brasil; **Dr. Fernando Fontainha**, IESP/UERJ, Brasil; **Dr. Fernando Maldonado**, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal; **Dr. Fernando Martins**, UniLavras, Brasil; **Felipo Pereira Bona**, UFPE, Brasil; **Fernando Perazzoli**, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal; **Dra. Fiammetta Bonfigli**, Universidade Lasalle, Brasil; **Dr. Flávia Carlet**, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal; **Dr. Flávio Bortolozzi Junior**, Universidade Positivo, Brasil; **Dr. Flávio Prol**, USP, Brasil; **Dr. Flávio Roberto Batista**, USP, Brasil; **Gabriela Cristina Braga Navarro**, Johann Wolfgang Goethe Universität, Alemanha; **Dr. Gabriel Gualano de Godoy**, ACNUR, Brasil; **Gabriel Vicente Riva**, Faculdade Vale do Cricaré, Brasil; **Dra. Giovanna Milano**, UNIFESP, Brasil; **Dr. Giovanna Schiavon**, PUC-PR, Brasil; **Dr. Giscard Farias Agra**, UFPE, Brasil; **Dra. Gisele Mascarelli Salgado**, Faculdade de Direito de São Bernardo do Campo - FDSBC, Brasil; **Dr. Gladstone Leonel da Silva Júnior**, UNB, Brasil; **Guilherme Cavicchioli Uchimura**, UFPR, Brasil; **Dr. Gustavo Castagna Machado**, UFPel, Brasil; **Gustavo Capela**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Gustavo César Machado Cabral**, UFC, Brasil; **Dr. Gustavo Sampaio de Abreu Ribeiro**, Harvard Law School, USA; **Dr. Gustavo Seferian Scheffer Machado**, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brasil; **Gustavo Capela**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Hector Cury Soares**, UNIPAMPA, Brasil; **Dr. Henrique Botelho Frola**, Centro Universitário Christus, Brasil; **Hugo Belarmino de Moraes**, UFPB, Brasil; **Dr. Hugo Leonardo Santos**, UFAL, Brasil; **Dr. Hugo Pena**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Iagê Zendron Miola**, UNIFESP, Brasil; **Ivan Baraldi**, Universidade de Coimbra; **Iran Guerrero Andrade**, Flacso/México, México; **Jailson José Gomes Rocha**, UFPB, Brasil; **Janaína Dantas Germano Gomes**, PUC-CAMPINAS, Brasil; **Jailton Macena**, UFPB, Brasil; **Dra. Izabel Nuñez**, UFF, Brasil; **Dra. Jane Felipe Beltrão**, UFPA, Brasil; **Jeferson Mariano**, Brasil; **Joanna Noronha**, Universidade de Harvard, USA; **Dr. João Andrade Neto**, Hamburg Universität, Alemanha; **João Emiliano Fortaleza de Aquino**, UECE, Brasil; **Dr. João Paulo Allain Teixeira**, UFPE, Brasil; **Dr. João Paulo Bachur**, IDP, Brasil; **João Telésforo de Medeiros Filho**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Jorge Foa Torres**, Universidad Nacional Villa María, Argentina; **Dr. José Carlos Moreira da Silva Filho**, PUCRS, Brasil; **Dr. José Renato Gaziero Cella**, IMED, Brasil; **Dr. José Heder Benatti**, UFPA, Brasil; **Dr. José Humberto de Goés**



Júnior, UFG, Brasil; **Dr. José Renato Gaziero Cella**, Faculdade Meridional - IMED, Brasil; **Dr. José Rodrigo Rodriguez**, Unisinos, Brasil; **Dr. Josué Mastrodi**, PUC-Campinas, Brasil; **Judá Leão Lobo**, UFPR, Brasil; **Juliana Cesario Alvim Gomes**, UFMG, Brasil; **Dra. Juliane Bento**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Lara Freire Bezerra de Santanna**, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal; **Dra. Laura Madrid Sartoretto**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dr. Leonardo Figueiredo Barbosa**, UNIFESO, Brasil; **Leticia Paes**, Birkbeck, University of London, Inglaterra; **Ligia Fabris Campos**, Humbolt Universität zu Berlin, Alemanha; **Dra. Livia Gimenez**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Lucas Machado Fagundes**, UNESC, Brasil; **Dr. Lucas Pizzolatto Konzen**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Lucas e Silva Gomes Pilau**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dra. Lucero Ibarra Rojas**, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas, México; **Dra. Luciana Reis**, UFU, Brasil; **Dra. Luciana de Oliveira Ramos**, USP, Brasil; **Dra. Luciana Silva Garcia**, IDP, Brasil; **Dr. Luciano Da Ros**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dr. Luiz Caetano de Salles**, UFU, Brasil; **Dr. Luiz Otávio Ribas**, UERJ, Brasil; **Manuela Abath Valença**, UFPE, Brasil; **Marcela Diorio**, USP, Brasil; **Marcella Alves Mascarenhas Nardelli**, UFJF, Brasil; **Marcelo de Castro Cunha Filho**, USP, Brasil; **Dr. Marcelo Eibs Cafrune**, UNB, Brasil; **Marcelo Mayora**, UFJF, Brasil; **Dr. Marcelo Torelly**, UNB, Brasil; **Marcelo Maciel Ramos**, UFMG, Brasil; **Dr. Mariana Teixeira**, Universidade Livre de Berlim, Alemanha; **Dra. Marília Denardin Budó**, UFRJ, Brasil; **Maria Izabel Guimarães da Costa Vellardo**, PUC-RJ, Brasil; **Marcio Camargo Cunha Filho**, UNB, Brasil; **Dra. Mariana Trotta**, UFSM, Brasil; **Dr. Marxo Alexandre de Souza Serra**, Puc-PR, Brasil; **Dr. Marcos Vinício Chein Feres**, UFJF, Brasil; **Dra. Maria Lúcia Barbosa**, UFPE, Brasil; **Dra. Maria Paula Meneses**, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal; **Dr. Mariana Anahi Manzo**, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina; **Mariana Chies Santiago Santos**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dra. Mariana Trotta**, UFRJ, Brasil; **Dra. Mariana Teixeira**, FU-Berlin, Alemanha; **Dra. Melisa Deciancio**, FLACSO, Argentina; **Dra. Marisa N. Fassi**, Università degli Studi di Milano, Itália; **Dra. Maria Cecilia Miguez**, CONICET, Argentina. **Dra. Maria Lúcia Barbosa**, UFPE, Brasil. **Dra. Maria Paula Menezes**, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal. **Dra. Maria Pia Guerra**, UNB, Brasil. **Mariana Chies Santiago Santos**, USP, Brasil. **Mariana G. Valente**, USP, Brasil. **Mariana Kuhn de Oliveira**, Centro Universitário Ritter dos Reis, Brasil. **Dra. Marta Rodriguez de Assis Machado**, Fundação Getúlio Vargas - Direito GV São Paulo, Brasil; **Mayara de Carvalho Araújo**, UFMG, Brasil; **Mayra Cotta**, The New School for Social Research, USA; **Melissa Deciano**, University of Munster, Argentina; **Dr. Miguel Gualano Godoy**, UFPR, Brasil; **Moniza Rizzini Ansari**, UFRJ, Brasil; **Mozart Silvano Pereira**, UERJ, Brasil; **Mozart Linhares da Silva**, UNSIC; **Monique Falcão Lima**, UERJ, Brasil; **Dr. Moisés**



Alves Soares, UFPR, Brasil; **Nadine Borges**, UFF, Brasil; **Natacha Guala**, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal; **Dr. Orlando Aragon**, México; **Dr. Orlando Villas Bôas Filho**, USP e Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie, Brasil; **Dr. Pablo Malheiros Frota**, UFGO, Brasil; **Dr. Pablo Minda**, Universidad Luis Vargas Torres, Equador; **Dr. Pablo Nemiña**, Universidade de Buenos Aires, Argentina; **Dr. Paulo Eduardo Alves da Silva**, USP, Brasil; **Paulo Eduardo Berni**, Universidade Ritter dos Reis, Brasil; **Dr. Paulo MacDonald**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dr. Paulo Eduardo Alves da Silva**, USP, Brasil; **Pedro Augusto Domingues Miranda Brandão**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Pedro de Paula**, São Judas Tadeu, Brasil; **Pedro Pulzatto Peruzzo**, PUC-Campinas, Brasil; **Dr. Philippe Oliveira de Almeida**, UFRJ, Brasil; **Pryscilla Monteiro Joca**, Université de Montréal, Canadá; **Dr. Rafael Lamera Giesta Cabral**, UFERSA, Brasil; **Dr. Rafael Schincariol**, USP, Brasil; **Dr. Rafael Vieira**, UFRJ, Brasil; **Dra. Raffaella Porciuncula Pallamolla**, Universidade Lassalle, Brasil; **Dr. Ramaís de Castro Silveira**, UnB, Brasil; **Dra. Raquel Lima Scalcon**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Renan Bernardi Kalil**, USP, Brasil; **Dr. Renan Quinalha**, USP, Brasil; **Dra. Renata Ribeiro Rolim**, UFPB; **Dr. Renato Cesar Cardoso**, UFMG, Brasil; **Dr. Ricardo Prestes Pazello**, UFPR, Brasil; **Dra. Roberta Baggio**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dr. Roberto Bueno Pinto**, UFU, Minas Gerais; **Dr. Roberto Efrem Filho**, UFPB, Brasil; **Prof Rodolfo Jacarandá**, Universidade Federal de Rondônia, Brasil; **Rodrigo Faria Gonçalves Iacovini**, USP, Brasil; **Dr. Rodrigo Ghiringhelli de Azevedo**, PUCRS, Brasil; **Dr. Rodolfo Liberato de Noronha**, UNIRIO, Brasil; **Rodrigo Kreher**, UFRGS, Brasil; **Dr. Roger Raupp Rios**, Uniritter, Brasil; **Dra. Rosa Maria Zaia Borges**, UFU, Brasil. **Dr. Samuel Barbosa**, USP, Brasil; **Dr. Saulo Matos**, UFPA, Brasil; **Dra. Shirley Silveira Andrade**, UFES, Brasil; **Dra. Simone Andrea Schwinn**, UNISC, Brasil; **Simone Schuck Silva**, UNISINOS, Brasil; **Talita Tatiana Dias Rampin**, UNB, Brasil; **Tatyane Guimarães Oliveira**, UFPB, Brasil; **Thiago Arruda**, UFERSA, Brasil; **Dr. Thiago Reis e Souza**, Escola de Direito Fundação Getúlio Vargas - São Paulo, Brasil; **Prof. Dr. Thiago de Azevedo Pinheiro Hoshino**, UFPR, Brasil; **Dr. Thomaz Henrique Junqueira de Andrade Pereira**, Escola de Direito Fundação Getúlio Vargas – Rio de Janeiro, Brasil; **Dr. Tiago de Garcia Nunes**, UFPel, Brasil; **Dra. Valéria Pinheiro**, UFPB, Brasil; **Dra. Verônica Gonçalves**, UNB, Brasil; **Dr. Vinícius Gomes Casalino**, PUC-Campinas, Brasil; **Dr. Vinicius Gomes de Vasconcellos**, USP/PUCRS, Brasil; **Dr. Vitor Bartoletti Sartori**, UFMG, Brasil; **Dr. Wagner Felouniuk**, UFRGS, Brasil.

Translators who worked on this edition: Attila Ruschi Secchin,

