

JOURNAL QUAESTIO IURIS

Editorial for vol. 09-4 (2016)

The Journal Quaestio Iuris, classified by MEC / CAPES as Qualis A2, Law, now quarterly, in this volume 09, number 03, is pleased to inform all its readers that our Journal was included, on September 2016, in the European Reference Index for the Humanities and the Social Sciences (ERIH PLUS). The purpose of this Index is to increase the overall visibility of high quality research in the humanities and social sciences throughout Europe and to facilitate access to research journals published in all European languages. The ERIH PLUS index covers the disciplines of the humanities and social sciences. The new benchmark is called ERIH PLUS, to indicate that the list has been extended to also include social science disciplines. It is maintained and operated by the Norwegian Center for Research Data - NSD.

The Journal also has the joy of publishing the article *The Problem of the Improbable Disruptors: Four Views on the Role of Science in Policy-Making* by Prof. Lucas Bergkamp, Professor at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, member of the Brussels office of the international law of Hunton & Williams, Director of the Council on the Environment and Product Stewardship and member of the Board of the European Journal of Risk Regulation. The article *The Problem of the Improbable Disruptors: Four Views on the Role of Science in Policy-Making* examines a pressing issue that is crucial to society's decision-making on a wide range of policy issues, from climate change to war on Terrorism: the politicization of science. The text deals with the relationship between science and policy formulation in the regulation of chemical risk based on science, but its purpose is much broader. Specifically, a hypothetical case of a category of potentially hazardous chemicals, called Improbable Disruptors, is used to illustrate the various ways in which science can be influenced by special interests and explained to policymakers such as the metaphysical rules of science and the presentation of information can be used to influence government policies and regulatory decisions.

In the same way as in the previous editions, in the present volume 09, number 03, we publish 25 (twenty five) unpublished articles, being 06 foreign articles: *A look over principles in the mediation procedure* of Prof^ª. Carla Zoraida Saad, Researcher at the Center for Social and Legal Research, Faculty of Law and Social Sciences, National University of Cordoba, Argentina; *Thomas Aquinas' thought on natural law: a new look at an old issue*, by Prof. John Igbogo Ebeh, Senior Lecturer, Department of Philosophy & Religious Studies, Kogi State University, Nigeria; *Alternatives in the actual realization of the right to education in multicultural societies*, by Prof. Antonio Tirso Ester Sánchez, PhD in Law and Professor of Philosophy of Law at the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain; *Control and reversal of business and industrial benefits by action in rem verso in Classical Roman Civilization*, Prof. Guillermo Suárez Blázquez, Professor of Roman Law and Contemporary Juridical Systems of the University of Vigo, Spain; *The guarantee of rights on the child population*, da Prof^ª. Emilia Santana Ramos, PhD in Law, Professor of Philosophy of Law at the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain and the aforementioned *The Problem of the Improbable Disruptors: Four Views on the Role of Science in Policy-Making* by Prof. Lucas Bergkamp, Professor at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, member of the Brussels office of the international law of Hunton & Williams, Director of the Council on the Environment and Product Stewardship and member of the Board of the European Journal of Risk Regulation.

We also publish in our Section Monographs a penetrating study called *Deverá o direito ser emancipatório? Da redução político-ideológica do jurídico à recuperação do seu sentido*, by Ian Pimentel Gameiro, PhD in Legal and Philosophical Sciences at the Faculty of Law of the University of Coimbra, Portugal

and Member of the Research Group 'Transparency, Good Governance and Communication' of Complutense of Madrid, Spain. The purpose of this paper is to answer the question of whether the law should be emancipatory as proposed by critical theories. The theoretical assumption is that this question deserves a negative answer. In the first and second sections of the monograph, critical theories are mapped and characterized in the scope of scientific thinking in general, and then their problematic projections in legal thinking. In the third section, it is answered, from jurisprudentialism, to the questions of whether emancipation constitutes the founding and grounding referent of law, no longer man-person, and also constitutes a problem of legal relevance that would ultimately merit a legal answer. In the end, it is concluded that the law should not replace the validity that constitutes it and that it constitutes social emancipation.

In our section essays we publish 08 (eight) essays, highlighting 03 (three) essays on juridical-philosophical issues: *Law and Marxism Today: between method, internationalization and fundamental rights*; *Critical hermeneutics law as guaranteed to democracy and respect to the constitution front judicial activism* and *The dimension of legal sovereignty at the current international globalized society*.

In this volume of the Journal we also broaden our staff of our reviewers / evaluators, with the inclusion of many Professors from countless States of the country as well as many foreign professors, now reaching a total of more than five hundred and fifty evaluators.

We also publish, for the immediate consultation of the readers, the links of the various national and international indexes of journals and periodicals where our Journal Quaestio Iuris is indexed. We thank, as always, all the readers, authors, evaluators and collaborators for the collaboration and trust and for the always excellent work done. We always remember that the submissions for Journal Quaestio Iuris are permanent and must be carried out by the system, directly on the Journal page.

Good reading to all!

Mauricio Mota
Editor of the Journal Quaestio Iuris