


 Ellen de Souza Almeida ¹
 Danielle Cristina Guimarães da Silva¹
 Joice Natiele Mariano de Almeida¹
 Thailane Carvalho dos Santos¹

¹ Universidade Federal do Oeste da Bahia, Centro das Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde. Barreiras, BA, Brasil.

Correspondence

Danielle Cristina Guimarães da Silva
daniellenut@hotmail.com

Association between handgrip strength and nutritional indicators in patients undergoing hemodialysis

Associação entre força de preensão manual e indicadores nutricionais em pacientes em tratamento hemodialítico

Abstract

Objective: To assess the association between handgrip strength (HGS) and nutritional indicators in patients undergoing hemodialysis in western Bahia State, Brazil. **Methods:** This is a cross-sectional study conducted with adult and elderly patients attending a hemodialysis unit in western Bahia. A structured questionnaire containing sociodemographic questions was administered, and anthropometric, body composition, clinical, and biochemical variables were analyzed. HGS was measured on the non-fistula side using a Saehan® hydraulic dynamometer. Data analysis was performed using Stata version 13.1. **Results:** A total of 113 patients were evaluated, most of whom were male (60.55%) and aged between 35 and 59 years (57.52%). Positive associations were found between height and HGS; the higher the patient height, the higher the HGS ($p = 0.020$). Higher post-dialysis body weight was also associated with higher HGS ($p = 0.002$). Triceps skinfold thickness was inversely associated ($p = 0.007$) with HGS, whereas phase angle showed a positive association ($p = 0.018$). **Conclusion:** HGS was positively associated with height, post-dialysis body weight, and phase angle but negatively associated with triceps skinfold thickness.

Keywords: Chronic renal disease. Hand-held dynamometer. Anthropometry. Hemodialysis.

Resumo

Objetivo: Verificar a associação entre a força de preensão manual (FPM) e indicadores nutricionais em pacientes em tratamento hemodialítico do Oeste da Bahia. **Métodos:** Trata-se de um estudo transversal, realizado com pacientes adultos e idosos, cadastrados em uma unidade de hemodiálise do Oeste da Bahia. Foi aplicado questionário estruturado com questões referentes às condições sociodemográficas e realizada análise das variáveis antropométricas, de composição corporal, clínicas e bioquímicas. A FPM foi medida do lado não fistuloso com um dinamômetro hidráulico da marca SAEHAN® (Saehan corporation – SH5001). A análise dos dados foi realizada com o auxílio do software Stata 13.1. **Resultados:** Foram avaliados 113 pacientes, com predominância do sexo masculino (60,55%) e faixa etária de 35 a 59 anos (57,52%). Foram encontradas associações positivas entre a altura e a FPM, sendo que quanto maior a altura, maior é a força ($p = 0,020$). O maior peso corporal após sessão de hemodiálise também se apresentou associado à maior FPM ($p = 0,002$). A medida da prega cutânea tricípital mostrou associação inversa ($p = 0,007$) e o ângulo de fase foi positivamente associado à FPM ($p = 0,018$). **Conclusão:** A força de preensão manual foi

associada positivamente a altura, peso corporal após sessão de hemodiálise e ângulo de fase. Em contrapartida, a FPM associou-se negativamente à prega cutânea tricipital.

Palavras-chave: Doença Renal Crônica. Dinamômetro de Força Muscular. Antropometria. Hemodiálise.

INTRODUCTION

Adequate nutrition is of utmost importance for patients on hemodialysis,¹ given the high frequency of nutritional disorders in this patient group. Reduced physical performance² and sarcopenia are common problems in chronic kidney disease (CKD)³ that contribute to increased morbidity and mortality.^{4,5}

Patients with CKD frequently display muscle atrophy, metabolic acidosis, persistent inflammation, endocrine dysfunctions, and increased resting energy expenditure—conditions that need to be well understood for a more effective treatment.⁶

Handgrip strength is a useful tool for assessing muscle loss and predicting prognosis. Several studies have demonstrated a relationship between low handgrip strength and increased mortality in patients undergoing hemodialysis.⁷⁻⁹ This simple, fast, and non-invasive method¹⁰ is performed using a hand-held dynamometer. Handgrip strength can also be used to evaluate the nutritional status of patients, as individuals with malnutrition often show lean mass depletion and low muscle strength.¹¹

The relevance of handgrip strength in clinical and epidemiological settings has increased because of its numerous applications, including, for instance, its use as a diagnostic tool for sarcopenia.¹² This study aimed to investigate the association between handgrip strength and nutritional indicators in patients undergoing hemodialysis in western Bahia State, Brazil.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted as part of a larger research project entitled “Sociodemographic, behavioral, clinical, anthropometric and dietary profile of patients undergoing hemodialysis in the municipality of Barreiras, Bahia.”

All patients who met the inclusion criteria—namely, age ≥ 18 years, being registered in the hemodialysis unit, and signing the informed consent form—were included in the study. Patients who were unable to answer the questionnaire or perform anthropometric measurements were excluded. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Western Bahia, Brazil (protocol no. 83803418.3.0000.8060).

Data collection took place between July 2018 and November 2019. Sociodemographic data were collected using a structured questionnaire. Anthropometric measurements and body composition analysis were performed. Clinical data were obtained from medical records. All stages of data collection were carried out by trained individuals to ensure the reliability of results.

Determination of handgrip strength

Handgrip strength was measured on the non-fistula side (non-catheterized arm) after a dialysis session using a hydraulic dynamometer (model SH5001, Saehan Corporation, Korea). Patients were instructed to hold the dynamometer comfortably in order to obtain the best grip performance. At the time of measurement, patients remained seated, with the arm adducted and the elbow flexed to 90° degrees without support.¹³ The highest measured value was used as handgrip strength.

Anthropometric assessment and body composition analysis

Body mass was measured by using a wireless wheelchair scale with a maximum capacity of 300 kg and graduation of 100 g (SECA-665®, USA). Standing height was measured using a compact stadiometer (WISO®, Brazil). For patients unable to stand, height was estimated from half arm span values.¹⁴

A skin caliper (Cescorf, Brazil) was used to measure biceps, triceps, suprailiac, and subscapular skinfold thickness. Arm circumference, waist circumference, and neck circumference measurements were obtained using a non-elastic measuring tape (Cescorf, Brazil). All body measurements were performed on the limb contralateral to the vascular access.

Phase angle, lean body mass, and fat mass were determined using a bioimpedance device (model A-310, Biodynamics Corporation, USA) and four plethysmograph electrodes attached to the patient's skin. The patient was asked to remain in a horizontal position during measurements. Anthropometric and body composition measurements were obtained after hemodialysis.

Sociodemographic, clinical, and biochemical variables

A structured questionnaire was administered to collect information on sex (dichotomized as female and male), age group, level of education (illiterate, primary, and secondary/vocational/post-secondary education), marital status (single, married/partnered, and divorced/separated/widowed), and socioeconomic status (high, classes A and B; intermediate, class C; and low, classes D and E).¹⁵

Data on hemodialysis duration, hemoglobin, and serum albumin levels were collected from medical records.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata version 13.1. The normality of data distribution was assessed by the Shapiro–Wilk test. Results are presented as absolute and relative frequencies, mean, standard deviation, and range. Values of handgrip strength were classified according to Schlüssel et al.¹⁰ Correlations between handgrip strength and anthropometric, body composition, clinical, and biochemical variables were analyzed using Pearson's or Spearman's test. Associations between independent variables and handgrip strength were examined using simple and multiple linear regression analysis. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

At the time of the study, 156 patients attended the hemodialysis unit, 140 of whom were eligible to participate in the research. However, after exclusion of discharges and deaths, the total sample comprised 113 patients.

The majority of patients were male (60.55%), married/partnered (62.83%), aged 35–59 years (57.52%), with primary education (53.98%) and low socioeconomic status (81.41%). These data are presented in Table 1. Of note, six patients had a history of diabetes mellitus.

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of hemodialysis patients ($N = 113$) attending a dialysis unit in western Bahia State, Brazil, in 2019.

| Variable | <i>n</i> | % |
|-------------------|----------|-------|
| Sex | | |
| Female | 43 | 39.45 |
| Male | 66 | 60.55 |
| Marital status | | |
| Married/partnered | 71 | 62.83 |

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of hemodialysis patients ($N = 113$) attending a dialysis unit in western Bahia State, Brazil, in 2019. (Continues).

| Variable | <i>n</i> | % |
|---|----------|-------|
| Single | 31 | 27.43 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 7 | 9.73 |
| <i>Age group (years)</i> | | |
| <35 | 26 | 23.01 |
| ≥35–59 | 65 | 57.52 |
| ≥60 | 22 | 19.47 |
| <i>Level of education</i> | | |
| Illiterate | 8 | 7.08 |
| Primary education | 61 | 53.98 |
| Secondary/vocational/post-secondary education | 44 | 38.94 |
| <i>Socioeconomic status</i> | | |
| High (classes A and B) | 9 | 7.95 |
| Intermediate (class C) | 12 | 10.62 |
| Low (classes D and E) | 92 | 81.41 |

The mean handgrip strength was 28.96 ± 17.93 kgf. Table 2 shows the mean, standard deviation, and percentiles of anthropometric, body composition, clinical, and biochemical data of study participants. The mean height was 167.79 ± 18.08 cm; post-dialysis weight, 66.35 ± 14.70 kg; triceps skinfold thickness, 15.11 ± 8.78 mm; and phase angle, $6.90 \pm 2.0^\circ$.

Table 2. Anthropometric, body composition, clinical, and biochemical characteristics of chronic kidney disease patients ($N = 113$) undergoing hemodialysis in western Bahia State, Brazil, in 2019.

| Variable | Mean | Standard deviation | P25, P75 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| Height (cm) | 163.79 | 18.08 | 158.5, 172.0 |
| Post-dialysis body weight (kg) | 66.35 | 14.70 | 57.5, 73.3 |
| Triceps skinfold thickness (mm) | 15.11 | 8.78 | 9, 20 |
| Subscapular skinfold thickness (mm) | 16.30 | 8.34 | 10, 20 |
| Arm circumference (cm) | 29.29 | 5.27 | 25, 33 |
| Neck circumference (cm) | 36.23 | 3.91 | 34, 38 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 88.09 | 13.08 | 78.25, 97.25 |
| Lean mass (kg) | 49.10 | 11.85 | 41.5, 55.4 |
| Fat mass (kg) | 17.56 | 9.36 | 10.9, 23.3 |
| Phase angle ($^\circ$) | 6.90 | 2.0 | 5.7, 7.6 |
| Hemodialysis duration (months) | 27.80 | 39.68 | 7, 35 |
| Albumin (mg/dL) | 3.53 | 0.56 | 3.25, 3.91 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 9.50 | 12.34 | 7.9, 10.5 |

P25, 25th percentile; P75, 75th percentile

We observed that the handgrip strength values of 52.1% of patients were in the ≤ 10 th percentile and only 9.73% of patients had values above the 50th percentile. Correlation analysis revealed a positive correlation of

handgrip strength with height ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.493$) and post-dialysis body weight ($p = 0.008$, $r = 0.245$) and a negative correlation of handgrip strength with triceps skinfold thickness ($p = 0.009$, $r = -0.241$) and subscapular skinfold thickness ($p = 0.080$, $r = -0.165$). Handgrip strength was also positively correlated with arm circumference ($p = 0.913$, $r = 0.103$), neck circumference ($p = 0.004$, $r = 0.270$), lean mass ($p = 0.001$, $r = 0.348$), phase angle ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.385$), serum albumin ($p = 0.121$, $r = 0.149$), and hemoglobin ($p = 0.087$, $r = 0.163$). Negative correlations were observed between handgrip strength and waist circumference ($p = 0.967$, $r = -0.003$), fat mass ($p = 0.385$, $r = -0.082$), and hemodialysis duration ($p = 0.383$, $r = -0.082$).

Table 3 shows the determinants of handgrip strength, as assessed by simple and multiple linear regression. Positive associations were found between height and handgrip strength; the higher the height, the higher the strength ($p = 0.020$). Post-dialysis body weight was also positively associated with higher handgrip strength ($p = 0.002$). Triceps skinfold thickness showed an inverse relationship with handgrip strength ($p = 0.007$), and phase angle was positively associated with the variable ($p = 0.018$).

Table 3. Simple and multiple linear regression coefficients, 95% confidence intervals (CI), and p -values for handgrip strength in chronic kidney disease patients ($N = 113$) undergoing hemodialysis in western Bahia State, Brazil, in 2019.

| Variable | β | 95% CI | p | β_A | 95% CI | p |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| Height (cm) | 0.298 | 0.120, 0.476 | 0.001 | 0.202 | 0.032, 0.372 | 0.020 |
| Post-dialysis body weight (kg) | 0.299 | 0.077, 0.522 | 0.009 | 0.388 | 0.141, 0.636 | 0.002 |
| Triceps skinfold thickness (mm) | -0.280 | -0.661, 0.099 | 0.146 | -0.560 | -0.966, -0.154 | 0.007 |
| Subscapular skinfold thickness (mm) | -0.093 | -0.497, 0.310 | 0.646 | | | |
| Arm circumference (cm) | 0.035 | -0.604, 0.674 | 0.914 | | | |
| Neck circumference (cm) | 1.212 | 0.387, 2.037 | 0.004 | | | |
| Waist circumference (cm) | -0.005 | -0.263, 0.253 | 0.967 | | | |
| Lean mass (kg) | 0.527 | 0.260, 0.793 | <0.001 | | | |
| Fat mass (kg) | -0.157 | -0.516, 0.200 | 0.385 | | | |
| Phase angle (°) | 2.735 | 1.136, 4.334 | 0.001 | 1.860 | 0.324, 3.397 | 0.018 |
| Hemodialysis duration (months) | -0.063 | -0.148, 0.020 | 0.135 | | | |
| Albumin (mg/dL) | 2.044 | -4.024, 8.113 | 0.506 | | | |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 0.179 | -0.780, 1.139 | 0.712 | | | |

β , simple linear regression coefficient; β_A , covariable-adjusted β value.

DISCUSSION

This study sought to investigate the associations between handgrip strength and anthropometric, body composition, clinical, and biochemical data of patients undergoing hemodialysis in western Bahia State, Brazil. We identified that height influenced handgrip strength. Taller individuals had greater strength, as did individuals with greater post-dialysis body weight. Low triceps skinfold thickness was associated with high handgrip strength, demonstrating that the lower the body adiposity, the greater the strength. Phase angle was positively associated with handgrip strength.

The positive relationship between height and handgrip strength observed here agrees with the results of Rodríguez-García et al.,² who evaluated 750 healthy subjects in Mexico. The authors identified significant associations between handgrip strength and height, the variable with the highest correlation in both sexes. The relationship between handgrip strength and height is likely based on the close association of height with lean body mass.¹⁶ A study assessing 73 children with CKD also found a positive correlation between handgrip strength and height.¹⁷ Hasheminejad et al.¹⁸ evaluated 83 adults on hemodialysis in Iran and found that handgrip strength was positively associated with height and body weight.

In our study, we observed that the higher the body weight, the higher the handgrip strength. Grisin et al.,¹⁹ in evaluating 37 adult subjects one year after hemodialysis treatment, observed a positive correlation of pre- and post-dialysis handgrip strength with high fat and lean mass, which may partially explain the correlation between handgrip strength and post-dialysis weight observed in the current study.

Triceps skinfold thickness, used in nutrition clinical practice as an indicator of body fat, had a negative correlation with handgrip strength. Leal et al.,²⁰ in a cross-sectional study with 43 patients on hemodialysis in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, found a negative correlation between handgrip strength and body fat. In a study by Cordeiro et al.,²¹ reduced handgrip strength was correlated with excess abdominal adiposity in patients undergoing hemodialysis. The findings suggest that a reduction in lean mass may occur even in individuals with high fat mass, probably because of inflammation caused by high fat deposition.²⁰

Phase angle showed a positive association with handgrip strength ($p = 0.018$). Dos Reis et al.²² assessed 129 liver transplant recipients and observed a positive association between phase angle and handgrip strength: patients with lower handgrip strength had a lower phase angle. The results of Rodríguez-García et al.² corroborate these data.

A limitation of the current study is its cross-sectional nature, which precludes analysis of cause and effect relationships. The strengths of the study include data collection by a trained team, minimizing inter-individual variability and increasing reliability. It should also be noted that this was the first study to associate handgrip strength with nutritional indicators in patients undergoing hemodialysis in the western region of Bahia State, Brazil.

CONCLUSION

Handgrip strength was positively correlated with height, post-dialysis body weight, and phase angle and negatively correlated with triceps skinfold thickness. It is essential to frequently evaluate anthropometric characteristics in this patient group to reduce the risk of mortality and associated comorbidities. Future studies should aim to determine cut-off values of handgrip strength in this population for improved prognostic prediction.

REFERENCES

1. Szu-Chia C, Wei-Shiuan C, Pei-Yu W, Jiun-Chi H, Yi-Wen C, Jer-Ming C, et al. Association between Geriatric Nutrition Risk Index, Bone Marrow Density, Body Composition and Handgrip Strength in Patients Receiving Hemodialysis. *Nutrition*. 2019 [2019 Nov 20]; 65:6-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2019.02.013>
2. Rodríguez-García WD, García-Castañeda L, Orea-Tejeda A, Mendoza-Núñez V, González-Islas DG, Santillán-Díaz C, et al. Handgrip strength: Reference values and its relation ship with bioimpedance and anthropometric variables. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2017 [2019 Out 11]; 30:1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2017.01.010>
3. Carrero JJ, Johansen KL, Lindholm B, Stenvinkel P, Cuppari L, Avesani CM. Screening for muscle wasting and dysfunction in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int*. 2016 [2019 Nov 19];90(1):53- 66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2016.02.025>

4. Fouque D, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kopple J, Cano N, Chauveau P, Cuppari L, et al. A proposed nomenclature and diagnostic criteria for protein-energy wasting in acute and chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2008 [2019 Oct 11];73(4):391- 398. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5002585>
5. Norman K, Stobeaes N, Gonzalez MC, Schulzke J-D, Pirlich M. Handgrip strength: outcome predictor and marker of nutritional status. *Clin Nutr.* 2011 [2019 Nov 10];30(2):135-142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2010.09.010>
6. Thomas SS, Mitch WE. Mechanisms stimulating muscle wasting in chronic kidney disease: the roles of the ubiquitin-proteasome system and myostatin. *Clin Exp Nephrol.* 2013 [2020 Ago 17];17(2):174-182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10157-012-0729-9>
7. Lopes MB, Silva LF, Dantas MA, Matos CM, Lopes GB, Lopes AA. Sex-age specific handgrip strength and mortality in an incident the modialysis cohort: the risk explained by nutrition and comorbidities. *Int J Artif Organs.* 2018 [2019 Nov 20]; 41:825-832. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0391398818793088>
8. Isoyama N, Qureshi AR, Avesani CM, Lindholm B, Bàràny P, Heimbürger O, et al. Comparative associations of muscle mass and muscle strength with mortality in dialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2014 [2019 Nov 10]; 9:1720-1728. <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.10261013>
9. Stenvinkel P, Barany P, Chung SH, Lindholm B, Heimbürger O. A comparative analysis of nutritional parameters as predictors of outcome in male and female ESRD patients. *Nephrol Dial Transpl.* 2002 [2019 Nov 10]; 17:1266-1274. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/17.7.1266>
10. Schlüssel MM, Anjos LA, Vasconcellos MT, Kac G. Reference values of handgrip dynamometry of healthy adults: a population-based study. *Clin Nutr.* 2008 [2019 Oct 18]; 27(4):601-607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2008.04.004>
11. Vilaça KHC, Ferriolli E, Lima NKC, Paula FJA, Marchini JS, Morigitu JC. Muscle strength and bone mineral density in well-nourished and malnourished elderly. *Rev Nutr.* 2011 [2019 Nov 9]; 24(6):845-852. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1415-52732011000600005>
12. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: report of the European working group on sarcopenia in older people. *Age Ageing* 2010 [2019 Nov 8]; 39(4):412-423. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afq034>
13. Innes E. Handgrip strength testing: a review of literature. *Aust Occup Ther J.* 1999 [2019 Oct 7];46(3):120-140. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1630.1999.00182.x>
14. Mitchell CO, Lipschitz DA. Arm length measurement as an alternative to height in nutritional assessment of the elderly. *J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 1982 [2019 Nov 7]; 6(3):226-229. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0148607182006003226>
15. ABEP – Associação Brasileira de Empresas de Pesquisa. Critério de Classificação econômica Brasil. São Paulo: ABEP; 2016 [acesso 2019 Nov 19]. Disponível em: <http://www.abep.org/criterio-brasil>.
16. Pinto AP, Ramos CI, Meireles MS, Kamimura MA, Cuppari L. Impact of hemodialysis session on handgrip strength. *J Bras Nefrol.* 2015 [2019 Nov 10]; 37:451-457. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5935/0101-2800.20150072>
17. Bakr AMEB, Hasaneen BM, Bassiouni DAH. Assessment of Nutritional Status in Children with Chronic Kidney Disease Using HandGrip Strength Tool. *J Ren Nutr.* 2018 [2019 Nov 12]; 28(4):265-269. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2017.12.007>
18. Hasheminejad N, Namdari M, Mahmoodi MR, Bahrampour A, Azmandian J. Association of Handgrip Strength With Malnutrition-Inflammation Score as na Assessment of Nutritional Status in Hemodialysis Patients. *Iran J Kidney Dis.* 2016; 10(1):30-35.
19. Grisin E, Sukackiene D, Janulyte I, Janusaite MM, Siaulyte J, Gaveliene E, et al. Evaluation of handgrip strength in hemodialysis patients: Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania. *J Ren Nutr.* 2018 [2019 Nov 20]; 28(2):141. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2018.01.009>
20. Leal VO, Stockler-Pinto MB, Farage NE, Aranha LN, Fouque D, Anjos LA, et al, et al. Handgrip strength and its dialysis determinants in hemodialysis patients. *J Nutr.* 2011 [2019 Oct 16]; 27(11-12):1125-1129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2010.12.012>
21. Cordeiro AC, Qureshi AR, Stenvinkel P, Heimbürger O, Axelsson J, Barany P, et al. Abdominal fat deposition is associated with increased inflammation, protein-energy wasting and worse outcome in patients with under going haemodialysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2010 [2019 Nov 19]; 25(2):562-568. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfp492>

22. Dos Reis AS, Santos HO, Limirio LS, Oliveira EP. Phase Angles Associated with Handgrip Strength but Not With Sarcopenia in Kidney Transplantation Patients. *J Ren Nutr.* 2019 [2019 Nov 25]; 29(3):196- 204. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2018.10.005>

Contributors

Silva DCG contributed to study conception and design, data analysis and interpretation, and approval of the final version of the article; Almeida ES, Almeida JNM, and Santos TC participated in data collection, developed the database, and revised the final version of the article.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Received: May 21, 2020

Accepted: September 18, 2020