



# childhood & philosophy

núcleo de estudos de filosofias e infâncias [nefi/uerj]  
international council of philosophical inquiry with children [icpic]

e-issn: 1984-5987 | p-issn: 2525-5061

**review 1**  
**reviewer:** suhailah hussien

## no visitors allowed: facilitating philosophical dialogue in the presence of guarded faith

### **author:**

**hamad mohamed al-rayes**

bahrain institute of banking and finance (bibf), bahrain

e-mail: hmohamed@bibf.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9650-4443>

### **how to quote this article:**

**APA:** Al-rayes, H. M. (2025). No visitors allowed: facilitating philosophical dialogue in the presence of guarded faith. *childhood & philosophy*, 21, 1-23. doi: 10.12957/childphilo.2025.90478



It is such a pleasure to read the article due to its angle of discussion and new ideas in navigating the real challenges of a community. The article discusses a perspective that is often neglected but equally important when developing a CPI. The proposition to 'make room' instead of 'visiting' presents the reality of participants of CPI, which facilitators encounter frequently. By foregrounding *caring-critical thinking*, the concept of being *good neighbours*, and the promotion of *tolerance and respect* as global citizens of diverse and often *guarded faiths*, the article makes a valuable contribution to contemporary CPI discourse

However, I would like to make some suggestions that I believe will help to improve the paper in two aspects:

1. The discussion on Guarded Faith begins with the explanation that there is no *a priori* contradiction between Islamic faith and PI, before presenting the scope of the article on the specific modality of faith, and the epistemic, affective and metaethical characteristics. An elaboration on this is imperative to frame the concept of Guarded Faith on a particular theoretical knowledge. The lack of this discussion situates Guarded Faith in a vacuum instead of a rich tradition that very much is influencing and shaping it. Its conceptualisation may assist in understanding how Guarded Faith becomes Good neighbours. This will help to cast the discussion on *Guarded Faith, caring-critical thinking* and *making room* within a more coherent and comprehensive understanding of CPI in practice. More importantly, the theoretical underpinning of Guarded Faith is somewhat ambiguous and requires clearer articulation.
2. I feel that there is a need to elaborate on how Guarded Faith becomes good neighbours. How does Guarded Faith crossover to Kierkegaard's idea of neighbourly love--what compels him/her? Can understanding of what constitutes good for oneself and helping the other be enough to move Guarded Faith to be Good neighbours? The discussion needs to work on the progress of this change/move.